UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/531,131 | 11/07/2005 | Hans-Jurgen Wehner | GOTZF 146 US | 4967 |
| | 7590 02/19/200 FOSTER, PHILLIPS & | EXAMINER | | |
| 7632 SLATE R | IDGE BOULEVARD | KIM, JOHN K | | |
| REYNOLDSBURG, OH 43068 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2834 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/19/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

officeactions@ohiopatent.com officeactions2@ohiopatent.com officeactions3@ohiopatent.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/531,131 | WEHNER ET AL. |
| interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | JOHN K. KIM | 2834 |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | |
| (1) <u>JOHN K. KIM</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Frank Foster (Reg. 24560)</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>06 February 2009</u> . | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2 | t)∏ applicant's representative |] |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>none</u> . | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> . | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g |)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N | /A. |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <i>In response to the interview phone call to the attorney and advised the advisory office a interview request is withdrawn and it will be re-considered at the interview request is withdrawn and it will be re-considered at the interview request in the interview request is withdrawn and it will be re-considered at the interview request in the interview request is withdrawn and it will be re-considered at the interview request in the interview request is withdrawn and it will be re-considered at the interview request in the interview request is withdrawn and it will be re-considered at the interview request in the interview request is withdrawn and it will be re-considered at the interview request in the interview request is withdrawn and it will be re-considered at the interview request in the interview request is withdrawn and it will be re-considered at the interview request in the interview request is withdrawn and it will be re-considered at the interview request in the interview request </i> | ew requested filed on 1/23/200 ction is in process. The attorn | <u>09, the examiner made a</u> e <u>y responded that the</u> |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached | opy of the amendments that w | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREPARENTS ON REVERSE SIDE OF THE SHEET. | last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V | been filed, APPLICANT IS DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 1000 | | |
| UK/ | /Quyen Leung/ SPE, Art Unit 2834 | |